UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE THOMAS-JAMES BROWN-BEY,

Plaintiff.

Case No. 2:20-mc-50804-LJM 2:20-mc-50813-LJM-EAS 2:20-mc-51107-LJM-APP

Honorable Laurie J. Michelson

ORDER DISMISSING CASE AND WARNING PLAINTIFF OF INJUNCTION AGAINST FILING

Starting about three months ago, in July 2020, Thomas-James Brown-Bey began filing documents in the Eastern District of Michigan. These documents include a notice of common law lein, a notice of judges and officials' oath, a request under the Freedom of Information Act, a jurisdictional challenge with affidavit, a legal notice and demand (with reference to the Moorish National Republic), and a notice of felony template. As a result of these filings, the Clerk of Court has opened five miscellaneous cases (20-mc-50795, 20-mc-50804, 20-mc-50805, 20-mc-50813, 20-mc-51107), three of which have been assigned to the undersigned (20-mc-50804, 20-mc-50813, 20-mc-51107).

The Court will dismiss its three cases for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. The Court is not able to discern any remotely plausible federal cause of action in any of the three cases. *Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Env't*, 523 U.S. 83, 89 (1998) (providing that dismissal of a federal claim for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction is proper where claim is "so insubstantial, implausible, foreclosed by prior decisions of this Court, or otherwise completely devoid of merit as not to involve a federal controversy"). And the Court cannot tell who should be defendants in these cases

Case 2:20-mc-50804-LJM ECF No. 19, PageID.260 Filed 10/22/20 Page 2 of 2

or the defendants' citizenship, and so Brown-Bey has also not invoked diversity jurisdiction under

28 U.S.C. § 1332. So all three of these cases will be dismissed.

Additionally, the Court warns Brown-Bey that his continued filing of indecipherable

documents that do not adhere to this Court's filing requirements and do not come close to stating

a viable cause of action may lead to the undersigned or another judge enjoining (i.e., stopping)

Brown-Bey from filing in this District without first obtaining permission to do so. Since July 2020,

Brown-Bey has filed no less than 40 documents. These have required time and effort of the Clerk

of Court to process and docket. They have also required the time and effort of judges and their

staff to review. While Brown-Bey has a right to seek redress in this District, he is not permitted to

inundate the District with indecipherable documents.

If Brown-Bey believes he has a viable claim, he should set out that claim in short and plain

language. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 8 ("A pleading that states a claim for relief must contain . . . a short

and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." (emphasis added)).

There is a "Complaint for a Civil Case" form available on the District's website at

http://www.mied.uscourts.gov/index.cfm?pageFunction=formList. Indeed, that same website has

an entire section devoted to "representing yourself," including a "How to File a Lawsuit

Handbook." http://www.mied.uscourts.gov/.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 22, 2020

s/Laurie J. Michelson

LAURIE J. MICHELSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2